

# Combinational Complexity Measures as a Function of Fan-Out

D. L. Johnson

Communications Research Section

J. E. Savage

Engineering Division, Brown University

L. Welch

Electrical Engineering Dept., University of California at Los Angeles

If  $C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L)$  is the fan-out  $s$  combinational complexity of the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_L$  with respect to straight-line algorithms (or combinational machines) of fan-in  $r$ , then it is shown that

$$C_\infty(f_1, \dots, f_L) \leq C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L) \leq \left( \frac{d(r-1)}{s-1} + 1 \right) C_\infty(f_1, \dots, f_L) + \frac{d}{s-1} (L - N)$$

where  $N$  is the number of variables on which  $f_1, \dots, f_L$  depend and  $d = C_s(I)$  where  $I$  is the identity function in one variable. Thus, a well-designed combinational machine or algorithm will not have a fan-out which is more than several times its fan-in.

## I. Introduction

In this paper we develop bounds on the fan-out  $s$  combinational complexity of functions. These bounds show that the combinational complexity of functions has a weak dependence on fan-out when  $s \geq 2$ .

## II. Bounds on Combinational Complexity

Before we develop the promised bounds, we state the following definitions which are needed in the sequel.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set of functions over the set  $\Sigma$ , such that if  $h_i \in \Omega$ , then  $h_i: \Sigma^{n_i} \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Let

$$\Gamma = \Sigma \cup \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N\}$$

Then, an  $(\Omega, \Gamma)$  algorithm (or "straight-line" algorithm) is a  $K$ -tuple  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_K)$  where either  $\beta_k \in \Gamma$  or  $\beta_k = (h_i; k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n_i})$ ,  $h_i \in \Omega$ ,  $1 \leq k_i < k$ . The set of functions  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_K)$  is associated with  $\beta$  where  $\beta_k = \beta_k$  if  $\beta_k \in \Gamma$  and  $\beta_k = h_i(\beta_{k_1}, \dots, \beta_{k_{n_i}})$  if

$$\beta_k = (h_i; k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n_i})$$

An algorithm  $\beta$  is said to compute the functions

$$f_l: \Sigma^{m_l} \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad m_l \leq N, \quad 1 \leq l \leq L$$

if there exist  $\beta_{m_1}, \dots, \beta_{m_L}$  such that  $f_l = \beta_{m_l}$

The fan-in of  $\Omega$  is

$$r = \max_i n_i$$

where  $h_i: \epsilon \Omega$ ,  $h_i: \Sigma^{n_i} \rightarrow \Sigma$ . If  $\beta$  computes  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_L$  where  $f_l = \beta_{m_l}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq L$ , let  $\gamma_i$  the number of steps of  $\beta$  which use  $\beta_i$ , if  $\beta_i \notin \Sigma$ , and  $\gamma_i = 0$ ,  $\beta_i \in \Sigma$  and let  $\theta_i = \gamma_i$ ,  $i \neq m_1, m_2, \dots, m_L$  and  $\theta_i = \gamma_i + 1$  otherwise. Then, the fan-out of  $\beta$  is

$$s = \max_i \theta_i$$

**DEFINITION 2.** The combinational complexity with fan-out  $s$  of

$$f_l: \Sigma^{m_l} \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad 1 \leq l \leq L, \quad C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L)$$

is the smallest number of steps  $\beta_k \notin \Gamma$  of any  $(\Omega, \Gamma)$  algorithm which computes these functions, if one such exists; otherwise  $C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L)$  is  $\infty$ . Associated with any  $(\Omega, \Gamma)$  algorithm is a graph  $G$  in which vertices correspond to steps of the algorithm and edges are directed and ordered from vertices corresponding to  $\beta_{k_1}, \dots, \beta_{k_{n_i}}$  to the vertex corresponding to  $\beta_k$  if  $\beta_k = (h_i; \beta_{k_1}, \dots, \beta_{k_{n_i}})$ . Vertices corresponding to steps  $\beta_k \in \Gamma$  are called source vertices.

Combinational machines are circuits which correspond to the graphs of  $(\Omega, \Gamma)$  algorithms in which  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\Omega$  is a set of Boolean functions; thus, there is an equivalence between combinational machines and straight-line algorithms. These algorithms are called "straight-line" because they do not permit loops or conditional branching. We now state the principal result of this article.

**THEOREM.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_L$  be distinct functions over  $\Sigma$  which depend on  $N$  variables. Let  $\Omega$  have fan-in  $r$  and let it be such that an  $(\Omega, \Gamma)$  algorithm exists for the identity function  $I$  in one variable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_\infty(f_1, \dots, f_L) &\leq C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{d(r-1)}{s-1} + 1 \right) \\ &\quad \times C_\infty(f_1, \dots, f_L) + \frac{d}{s-1}(L-N) \end{aligned}$$

where  $d = C_s(I)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\beta$  be a straight-line algorithm with fan-out  $s$  which computes  $f_1, \dots, f_L$  with  $C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L)$  operations. The directed graph of  $\beta$  has  $N$  source vertices and  $L$  vertices identified with the distinct functions  $f_1, \dots, f_L$ . To the graph  $G$  of  $\beta$  add  $L$  vertices with edges directed into them from the vertices identified with these functions. The number of edges incident upon vertices in this new graph  $G'$  is at most  $rC_\infty(f_1, \dots, f_L) + L$  since each of the original vertices has at most  $r$  edges directed into them. Thus, if  $\theta_i$  edges are directed away from the  $i$ th vertex of  $G'$  then

$$\sum_i \theta_i \leq rC_\infty(f_1, \dots, f_L) + L$$

where the sum is over all vertices except those associated with constants.

Since  $\Omega$  is complete, the identity function on one variable  $I(x)$  can be constructed with some number, e.g.,  $d$ , of elements from it with fan-out  $s$ . For each  $i$ , if the  $i$ th vertex of the graph  $G'$  has  $\theta_i$  edges directed away from it, we can add  $h(\theta_i, s)$  copies of the algorithm realizing  $I(x)$  to produce a graph  $G''$  which has fan-out  $s$ . Here

$$h(\theta_i, s) \leq \frac{\theta_i - 1}{s - 1}$$

so the number of elements in  $G''$  is bounded above by

$$\frac{d}{s-1} \sum_i (\theta_i - 1) + C_\infty$$

where the sum on  $i$  is taken over all vertices of  $G$  including all source vertices other than those associated with constants. Since  $C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L)$  is the minimum number of operations required to realize  $f_1, \dots, f_L$  with fan-out  $s$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L) &\leq \frac{d}{s-1} (rC_\infty(f_1, \dots, f_L) \\ &\quad + L - C_\infty - N) + C_\infty \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand equality of the theorem follows since  $C_s(f_1, \dots, f_L)$  is a non-increasing function of  $s$ . QED.

The significance of this result is that all of the complexity measures  $C_2, C_3, \dots, C_\infty$ , differ by at most a constant. Also,  $C_s$  approaches  $C_\infty$  with increasing  $s$  when  $r$  is fixed. For many sets  $\Omega$ ,  $d = 1$ ; for example, this is true for the set of addition, subtraction, multiplication and divi-

sion over the reals and the set of AND, OR and NOT over the set  $\{0, 1\}$ . However,  $d = 2$  for the set  $\Omega$  containing only NAND over  $\{0, 1\}$ .

The combinational complexity of a function with fan-out 1,  $C_1$ , can differ substantially from its combinational

complexity with unlimited fan-out. Subbotovskaya (Ref. 1) has shown that the Boolean function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_N) = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_N$  where  $\oplus$  denotes the EXCLUSIVE OR has  $C_1(f) > a_1 N^{3/2}$  for some constant  $a_1$  when  $\Omega$  consists of AND, OR and NOT and  $C_\infty(f) < a_2 N$  for some other constant  $a_2$ .

## Reference

1. Subbotovskaya, B. A., "Realizations of Linear Functions by Formulas Using  $\wedge$ ,  $\&$ ,  $-$ ," *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, Vol. 2, 1961.